

Customer No. 20350
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

08/14/98

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 CFR §1.53(b) is the
☒ [X] patent application of
☐ [] continuation patent application of
☐ [] divisional patent application of
☐ [] continuation-in-part patent application of

Inventor(s)/Applicant Identifier: Wilhelm GRUISSEM, John JELESKO
and Masaki FURUYA

For: **HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION IN PLANTS**

☐ [] This application claims priority from each of the following Application Nos./filing dates:
_____/_____; ____/____; ____/____, the disclosure(s)
of which is (are) incorporated by reference.

☐ [] Please amend this application by adding the following before the first sentence: --This application is a ☐ [] continuation ☐ [] division
of and claims the benefit of U.S. Application No. _____, filed _____, the disclosure of which is incorporated by
reference.--

Enclosed are:

- ☒ [X] 2 sheet(s) of ☐ [] formal ☒ [x] informal drawing(s); 27 pages of specification including description, claims and abstract; ☒ [x] title
page.
☐ [] An assignment of the invention to _____
☐ [] A ☐ [] signed ☐ [] unsigned Declaration & Power of Attorney.
☒ [x] A ☐ [] signed ☒ [x] unsigned Declaration.
☐ [] A certified copy of a _____ application.
☐ [] Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97.
☐ [] A petition to extend time to respond in the parent application.
☐ [] Notification of change of ☐ [] power of attorney ☐ [] correspondence address filed in prior application.
☐ [] Please cancel claim(s) _____.
☐ []

**Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.53(f), Applicant requests deferral of the filing fee until submission
of the Missing Parts of Application.**

DO NOT CHARGE THE FILING FEE AT THIS TIME.

Telephone: Facsimile:
(415) 576-0200 (415) 576-0300

APPROFEE.TRN 5/19/98

Atty. Docket No. 018941-000200US

"Express Mail" Label No. EL0087722401US

Date of Deposit August 14, 1998

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service
under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed
to Box Patent Application, Asst. Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D. C. 20231

By _____

Respectfully submitted,
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

Kevin L. Bastian
Reg. No.: 34,774
Attorneys for Applicant

PATENT APPLICATION
HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION IN PLANTS

Inventors: Wilhelm Gruissem
John Jelesko
Masaki Furuya

Assignees:

Regents of the University of California
Advanced Research Laboratories, Hitachi, Ltd.

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
(415) 576-0200

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to methods for transforming plant cells and, more particularly, to methods for identifying plants in which a target DNA sequence has been modified by homologous recombination.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15
20
25
30

Efficient methods for identifying plant cells in which homologous recombination has occurred is extremely useful in developing new plant varieties with targeted replacement or alteration of endogenous genes. Activation of a reporter gene activity due to homologous recombination between two non-allelic mutant copies of a reporter gene or between two overlapping deletions of a reporter gene have been reported in the literature (*see*, Assaad, *et al.*, *Genetics*, 132:553-566 (1992); Tovar, *et al.*, *Plant Cell*, 4:319-332 (1992); Baur, *et al.*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 10:492-500 (1990); Chiurazzi, *et al.*, *Plant Cell*, 8(11):2057-2066 (1996); Hroudá, *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 243:106-111 (1994); Lebel, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 90:422-426 (1993); Lyznik, *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 230:209-218 (1991); Offringa, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 90:7346-7350 (1993); Offringa, *et al.*, *EMBO J.*, 9:3077-3084 (1990); Swoboda, *et al.*, *EMBO J.*, 13:484-489 (1994); Risseuw, *et al.*, *Plant J.*, 7:109-119 (1995); Puchta, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:5055-5060 (1996); Puchta, *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 28:281-292 (1995); Puchta, *et al.*, *Nuc. Acids Res.*, 21:5034-5040 (1993); Puchta, *et al.*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 12:3372-3379 (1992); Puchta, *et al.*, *Nuc. Acids Res.* 19:2693-2700 (1991); Puchta, *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 230:1-7 (1991); Paszkowski, *et al.*, *EMBO J.*, 7:4021-4026 (1988); Peterhans, *et al.*, *EMBO J.*, 9:3437-3446 (1990)). However, these reports are limited to identifying recombination events within genes which themselves had some inherent "reporter gene activity" (e.g. drug resistance or conversion of a colorimetric substrate). In most cases, the homologous recombination events were non-reciprocal (unidirectional) homology dependent events, referred to as gene conversion events.

Several reports in animal gene targeting experiments use a dominant selectable reporter gene as part of a Δ gene X::reporter gene fusion to select for homologous recombination events *in vivo* (Buerstedde, *et al.*, *Cell*, 67:179-88 (1991); Jasin, *et al.*, *Genes and Devel.*, 2:1353-63 (1988); Sedivy, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 86:227-31 (1989)). In all cases, the reporter genes used imparted resistance to a drug that was used as a dominant positive selection for those cells that underwent the specific homologous recombination between the Δ gene X::reporter gene and the endogenous gene. A dominant selection approach can be problematic because either an insufficient level of the drug resistance trait or a tissue specific gene X expression pattern of the drug resistance trait may result in an inability to survive the drug selection during cell culture. The same concerns regarding the level and tissue specific expression pattern of the recombinant gene X'/X::reporter gene apply to the situations of using a dominant selectable reporter gene on a whole organism-based screen.

Although progress has been made, efficient screening methods for detecting homologous recombinants, particularly in plant cells is needed in the art. The present invention provides these and other advantages.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides compositions and methods which use a reporter sequence to identify homologous recombinants in a large population of transformed plants or plant cells. The methods of the invention involve contacting plant cells with a nucleic acid molecule comprising a fusion polynucleotide sequence comprising a polypeptide sequence of interest linked to a reporter sequence, wherein the nucleic acid molecule lacks sequences necessary for expression of the fusion polynucleotide sequence gene product in a cell. The fusion polynucleotide sequence gene product is then detected in the plant cells, thereby identifying plant cells in which homologous recombination has occurred.

The means by which the fusion polynucleotide is introduced into the cell is not critical. Typically, the polynucleotide is introduced using a T-DNA vector. In some embodiments, plants are regenerated from the plant cells before the step of detecting the presence of the fusion sequence gene product.

The particular reporter sequence used in the methods is also not critical. for instance, non-selective markers such as luciferase can be used. In this case video imaging equipment is conveniently used to detect the luciferase.

The homologous recombination event can be used to alter endogenous gene in any number of ways. For instance, the recombination can result in gene conversion or may lead to inactivation of the endogenous gene. Alternatively, a recombinant allele derived from two related genes can be produced. The newly created recombinant allele will typically have a new activity as compared to either of the genes from which it was derived.

The invention also provides isolated nucleic acid molecule useful in the above methods, as well as plants produced by the methods.

Definitions

The term "homologous recombination" refers to the process of recombination between two nucleic acid molecules based on nucleic acid sequence similarity. The term embraces both reciprocal and nonreciprocal recombination (also referred to as gene conversion). In addition, the recombination can be the result of equivalent or non-equivalent cross-over events. Equivalent crossing over occurs between two equivalent sequences or chromosome regions, whereas nonequivalent crossing over occurs between identical (or substantially identical) segments of nonequivalent sequences or chromosome regions. Unequal crossing over typically results in gene duplications and deletions. For a description of the enzymes and mechanisms involved in homologous recombination *see*, Watson *et al.*, *Molecular Biology of the Gene* pp 313-327, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. 4th ed. (1987).

The phrase "nucleic acid sequence" refers to a single or double-stranded polymer of deoxyribonucleotide or ribonucleotide bases read from the 5' to the 3' end. It includes chromosomal DNA, self-replicating plasmids, infectious polymers of DNA or RNA and DNA or RNA that performs a primarily structural role..

A "promoter" is defined as an array of nucleic acid control sequences that direct transcription of an operably linked nucleic acid. As used herein, a "plant promoter" is a promoter that functions in plants. Promoters include necessary nucleic acid sequences

near the start site of transcription, such as, in the case of a polymerase II type promoter, a TATA element. A promoter also optionally includes distal enhancer or repressor elements, which can be located as much as several thousand base pairs from the start site of transcription. A "constitutive" promoter is a promoter that is active under most environmental and developmental conditions. An "inducible" promoter is a promoter that is active under environmental or developmental regulation. The term "operably linked" refers to a functional linkage between a nucleic acid expression control sequence (such as a promoter, or array of transcription factor binding sites) and a second nucleic acid sequence, wherein the expression control sequence directs transcription of the nucleic acid corresponding to the second sequence.

The term "plant" includes whole plants, plant organs (*e.g.*, leaves, stems, flowers, roots, *etc.*), seeds and plant cells and their progeny. The class of plants which can be used in the method of the invention is generally as broad as the class of higher plants amenable to transformation techniques, including angiosperms (monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants), as well as gymnosperms. It includes plants of a variety of ploidy levels, including polyploid, diploid, haploid and hemizygous.

A polynucleotide sequence is "heterologous to" an organism or a second polynucleotide sequence if it originates from a foreign species, or, if from the same species, is modified from its original form. For example, a promoter operably linked to a heterologous coding sequence refers to a coding sequence from a species different from that from which the promoter was derived, or, if from the same species, a coding sequence which is different from any naturally occurring allelic variants.

A polynucleotide "exogenous to" an individual plant is a polynucleotide which is introduced into the plant by any means other than by a sexual cross. Examples of means by which this can be accomplished are described below, and include *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, biolistic methods, electroporation, and the like. Such a plant containing the exogenous nucleic acid is referred to here as an R₁ generation transgenic plant. Transgenic plants which arise from sexual cross or by selfing are descendants of such a plant.

Two nucleic acid sequences or polypeptides are said to be "identical" if the sequence of nucleotides or amino acid residues, respectively, in the two sequences is the same when aligned for maximum correspondence as described below. The terms "identical" or percent "identity," in the context of two or more nucleic acids or

polypeptide sequences, refer to two or more sequences or subsequences that are the same or have a specified percentage of amino acid residues or nucleotides that are the same, when compared and aligned for maximum correspondence over a comparison window, as measured using one of the following sequence comparison algorithms or by manual alignment and visual inspection. When percentage of sequence identity is used in reference to proteins or peptides, it is recognized that residue positions that are not identical often differ by conservative amino acid substitutions, where amino acids residues are substituted for other amino acid residues with similar chemical properties (e.g., charge or hydrophobicity) and therefore do not change the functional properties of the molecule. Where sequences differ in conservative substitutions, the percent sequence identity may be adjusted upwards to correct for the conservative nature of the substitution. Means for making this adjustment are well known to those of skill in the art. Typically this involves scoring a conservative substitution as a partial rather than a full mismatch, thereby increasing the percentage sequence identity. Thus, for example, where an identical amino acid is given a score of 1 and a non-conservative substitution is given a score of zero, a conservative substitution is given a score between zero and 1. The scoring of conservative substitutions is calculated according to, e.g., the algorithm of Meyers & Miller, *Computer Applic. Biol. Sci.* 4:11-17 (1988) e.g., as implemented in the program PC/GENE (Intelligenetics, Mountain View, California, USA).

The phrase "substantially identical," in the context of two nucleic acids or polypeptides, refers to sequences or subsequences that have at least 60%, preferably 80%, most preferably 90-95% nucleotide or amino acid residue identity when aligned for maximum correspondence over a comparison window as measured using one of the following sequence comparison algorithms or by manual alignment and visual inspection. This definition also refers to the complement of a test sequence, which has substantial sequence or subsequence complementarity when the test sequence has substantial identity to a reference sequence.

For sequence comparison, typically one sequence acts as a reference sequence, to which test sequences are compared. When using a sequence comparison algorithm, test and reference sequences are entered into a computer, subsequence coordinates are designated, if necessary, and sequence algorithm program parameters are designated. Default program parameters can be used, or alternative parameters can be

designated. The sequence comparison algorithm then calculates the percent sequence identities for the test sequences relative to the reference sequence, based on the program parameters.

A "comparison window", as used herein, includes reference to a segment of any one of the number of contiguous positions selected from the group consisting of from 20 to 600, usually about 50 to about 200, more usually about 100 to about 150 in which a sequence may be compared to a reference sequence of the same number of contiguous positions after the two sequences are optimally aligned. Methods of alignment of sequences for comparison are well-known in the art. Optimal alignment of sequences for comparison can be conducted, e.g., by the local homology algorithm of Smith & Waterman, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 2:482 (1981), by the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman & Wunsch, *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443 (1970), by the search for similarity method of Pearson & Lipman, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:2444 (1988), by computerized implementations of these algorithms (GAP, BESTFIT, FASTA, and TFASTA in the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Genetics Computer Group, 575 Science Dr., Madison, WI), or by manual alignment and visual inspection.

One example of a useful algorithm is PILEUP. PILEUP creates a multiple sequence alignment from a group of related sequences using progressive, pairwise alignments to show relationship and percent sequence identity. It also plots a tree or dendrogram showing the clustering relationships used to create the alignment. PILEUP uses a simplification of the progressive alignment method of Feng & Doolittle, *J. Mol. Evol.* 35:351-360 (1987). The method used is similar to the method described by Higgins & Sharp, *CABIOS* 5:151-153 (1989). The program can align up to 300 sequences, each of a maximum length of 5,000 nucleotides or amino acids. The multiple alignment procedure begins with the pairwise alignment of the two most similar sequences, producing a cluster of two aligned sequences. This cluster is then aligned to the next most related sequence or cluster of aligned sequences. Two clusters of sequences are aligned by a simple extension of the pairwise alignment of two individual sequences. The final alignment is achieved by a series of progressive, pairwise alignments. The program is run by designating specific sequences and their amino acid or nucleotide coordinates for regions of sequence comparison and by designating the program parameters. For example, a reference sequence can be compared to other test sequences to determine the percent sequence

identity relationship using the following parameters: default gap weight (3.00), default gap length weight (0.10), and weighted end gaps.

Another example of an algorithm that is suitable for determining percent sequence identity and sequence similarity is the BLAST algorithm, which is described in Altschul *et al.*, *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403-410 (1990). Software for performing BLAST analyses is publicly available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). This algorithm involves first identifying high scoring sequence pairs (HSPs) by identifying short words of length W in the query sequence, which either match or satisfy some positive-valued threshold score T when aligned with a word of the same length in a database sequence. T is referred to as the neighborhood word score threshold (Altschul *et al.*, *supra*). These initial neighborhood word hits act as seeds for initiating searches to find longer HSPs containing them. The word hits are extended in both directions along each sequence for as far as the cumulative alignment score can be increased. Extension of the word hits in each direction are halted when: the cumulative alignment score falls off by the quantity X from its maximum achieved value; the cumulative score goes to zero or below, due to the accumulation of one or more negative-scoring residue alignments; or the end of either sequence is reached. The BLAST algorithm parameters W, T, and X determine the sensitivity and speed of the alignment. The BLAST program uses as defaults a wordlength (W) of 11, the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix (*see* Henikoff & Henikoff, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10915 (1989)) alignments (B) of 50, expectation (E) of 10, M=5, N=-4, and a comparison of both strands.

The BLAST algorithm also performs a statistical analysis of the similarity between two sequences (*see, e.g.*, Karlin & Altschul, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:5873-5787 (1993)). One measure of similarity provided by the BLAST algorithm is the smallest sum probability (P(N)), which provides an indication of the probability by which a match between two nucleotide or amino acid sequences would occur by chance. For example, a nucleic acid is considered similar to a reference sequence if the smallest sum probability in a comparison of the test nucleic acid to the reference nucleic acid is less than about 0.2, more preferably less than about 0.01, and most preferably less than about 0.001.

"Conservatively modified variants" applies to both amino acid and nucleic acid sequences. With respect to particular nucleic acid sequences, conservatively modified variants refers to those nucleic acids which encode identical or essentially identical amino acid sequences, or where the nucleic acid does not encode an amino acid sequence, to essentially identical sequences. Because of the degeneracy of the genetic code, a large number of functionally identical nucleic acids encode any given protein. For instance, the codons GCA, GCC, GCG and GCU all encode the amino acid alanine. Thus, at every position where an alanine is specified by a codon, the codon can be altered to any of the corresponding codons described without altering the encoded polypeptide. Such nucleic acid variations are "silent variations," which are one species of conservatively modified variations. Every nucleic acid sequence herein which encodes a polypeptide also describes every possible silent variation of the nucleic acid. One of skill will recognize that each codon in a nucleic acid (except AUG, which is ordinarily the only codon for methionine) can be modified to yield a functionally identical molecule. Accordingly, each silent variation of a nucleic acid which encodes a polypeptide is implicit in each described sequence.

As to amino acid sequences, one of skill will recognize that individual substitutions, deletions or additions to a nucleic acid, peptide, polypeptide, or protein sequence which alters, adds or deletes a single amino acid or a small percentage of amino acids in the encoded sequence is a "conservatively modified variant" where the alteration results in the substitution of an amino acid with a chemically similar amino acid. Conservative substitution tables providing functionally similar amino acids are well known in the art.

The following six groups each contain amino acids that are conservative substitutions for one another:

- 1) Alanine (A), Serine (S), Threonine (T);
- 2) Aspartic acid (D), Glutamic acid (E);
- 3) Asparagine (N), Glutamine (Q);
- 4) Arginine (R), Lysine (K);
- 5) Isoleucine (I), Leucine (L), Methionine (M), Valine (V); and
- 6) Phenylalanine (F), Tyrosine (Y), Tryptophan (W).

(see, e.g., Creighton, *Proteins* (1984)).

An indication that two nucleic acid sequences or polypeptides are substantially identical is that the polypeptide encoded by the first nucleic acid is immunologically cross reactive with the antibodies raised against the polypeptide encoded by the second nucleic acid. Thus, a polypeptide is typically substantially identical to a second polypeptide, for example, where the two peptides differ only by conservative substitutions. Another indication that two nucleic acid sequences are substantially identical is that the two molecules or their complements hybridize to each other under stringent conditions, as described below.

The phrase "selectively (or specifically) hybridizes to" refers to the binding, duplexing, or hybridizing of a molecule only to a particular nucleotide sequence under stringent hybridization conditions when that sequence is present in a complex mixture (e.g., total cellular or library DNA or RNA).

The phrase "stringent hybridization conditions" refers to conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target subsequence, typically in a complex mixture of nucleic acid, but to no other sequences. Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. Longer sequences hybridize specifically at higher temperatures. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in Tijssen, *Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology--Hybridization with Nucleic Probes*, "Overview of principles of hybridization and the strategy of nucleic acid assays" (1993). Generally, highly stringent conditions are selected to be about 5-10°C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m) for the specific sequence at a defined ionic strength pH. Low stringency conditions are generally selected to be about 15-30 °C below the T_m . The T_m is the temperature (under defined ionic strength, pH, and nucleic concentration) at which 50% of the probes complementary to the target hybridize to the target sequence at equilibrium (as the target sequences are present in excess, at T_m , 50% of the probes are occupied at equilibrium). Stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.0 M sodium ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M sodium ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30°C for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60°C for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides). Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. For selective or specific hybridization, a

positive signal is at least two times background, preferably 10 time background hybridization.

Nucleic acids that do not hybridize to each other under stringent conditions are still substantially identical if the polypeptides which they encode are substantially identical. This occurs, for example, when a copy of a nucleic acid is created using the maximum codon degeneracy permitted by the genetic code. In such cases, the nucleic acids typically hybridize under moderately stringent hybridization conditions.

In the present invention, genomic DNA or cDNA comprising *FIE* nucleic acids of the invention can be identified in standard Southern blots under stringent conditions using the nucleic acid sequences disclosed here. For the purposes of this disclosure, suitable stringent conditions for such hybridizations are those which include a hybridization in a buffer of 40% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C, and at least one wash in 0.2X SSC at a temperature of at least about 50°C, usually about 55°C to about 60°C, for 20 minutes, or equivalent conditions. A positive hybridization is at least twice background. Those of ordinary skill will readily recognize that alternative hybridization and wash conditions can be utilized to provide conditions of similar stringency.

A further indication that two polynucleotides are substantially identical is if the reference sequence, amplified by a pair of oligonucleotide primers, can then be used as a probe under stringent hybridization conditions to isolate the test sequence from a cDNA or genomic library, or to identify the test sequence in, e.g., a northern or Southern blot.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

Figure 1A-1C show genetic constructs of the invention. Figure 1A shows the *Arabidopsis thaliana* *RBCSB* locus with black line indicating noncoding genomic DNA. Exons are shown in color: green, orange, and red (*RBCS1B*, *RBCS2B*, and *RBCS3B*, respectively). Exon size is not to scale but rather represents genic organization. Figure 1B shows an IRS construct used to make transgenic plants: NPTII gene shown in gray; $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ fusion consisted of *RBCS1B* sequences spanning from the PflMI site in *RBCS1B* exon I to the BsmI site in exon III; Firefly Luciferase-nos 3' terminator (blue) cloned in frame to the truncated *RBCS1B* exon III. *RBCS2B-RBCS3B* sequences normally downstream of *RBCS1B* were placed 3' to the $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ fusion. Figure 1C shows a

positive control construct (pJGJ204) consisting of a *RBCS1B* promoter -*RBCS1B::LUC* gene fusion. Half arrows represent the approximate location and direction of oligonucleotide primers (o13 and o14) used in PCR reactions. Restriction enzymes: P, PflMI; B, BsmI; and S, SphI.

Figure 2 shows unequal interchromosomal crossing over of misaligned sister chromatids such that the *RBCS3B* gene on one sister chromatid underwent reciprocal recombination with the Δ *RBCS1B::LUC* gene on the other sister chromatid. This cross-over resulted in two recombinant chromatids. One recombinant chromatid contained a single recombinant *RBCS1B/3B* gene. The reciprocal recombinant chromatid contained an intact IRS gene complement and two gene duplications: a recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimeric gene (imparting a *luc*⁺ phenotype) and an adjacent *RBCS2B* gene. Panel C, genomic DNA was subject to PCR analysis with one oligonucleotide primer specific to a *RBCS2B-3B* intergenic region (o37) and one primer specific to *LUC* (o22).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention provides new methods of detecting homologous recombination between introduced, heterologous nucleic acid molecules and endogenous plant DNA. The invention thus provides improved methods for modifying target plant nucleic acid sequence by transforming plant cells with nucleic acid sequences containing one or more sequences at least substantially identical with at least a portion of a target sequence.

In particular, the introduced molecules of the invention contain an internal recombinogenic substrate (IRS) which consists of a gene fusion between a fragment substantially identical to an endogenous target sequence cloned in-frame to a reporter sequence. The 5' regions of the gene fusion are deleted such that the DNA sequences responsible for expression of the fusion are absent, yielding an chimeric Δ target gene::reporter gene fusion that will be effectively silent. The domains that are substantially identical to the target gene included in the IRS should be of sufficient length to allow homologous DNA recombination with either identical or very similar DNA sequences *in vivo*. Thus, the IRS typically consists of DNA from a target gene fused in

frame to a reporter sequence and this construct lacks the necessary 5' transcription or translation signals to express the chimeric Δ target gene::reporter gene translation product.

Either stably or transiently introduced copies of an IRS into plant cells will undergo a homologous recombination event between target-specific sequences present in the IRS with related sequences present in the genome. A homologous recombination event will restore the 5' target gene regulatory sequences to the gene fusion, yielding a recombinant gene chimera. Restoration of the endogenous 5' target gene regulatory sequences to the gene fusion will result in the transcription and translation of a chimeric fusion protein, which then imparts the reporter gene activity to the cell. The reporter gene activity (or properties inherent to the reporter gene) is then assayed to identify tissues showing expression of the reporter gene activity.

Use of homologous recombination in plants allows site-specific modification of particular target DNA sequences in an endogenous gene (*e.g.*, exons, introns, and regulatory sequences). A number of targeted alterations are possible using the techniques of the present invention. For instance, the polypeptide expressed by the gene can be modified to alter properties of the expressed polypeptide. The modifications can include, for instance, substitutions, deletions, or insertions. In some embodiments recombinant alleles are produced that have sequences from both the endogenous target gene and the introduced sequence. These recombinant alleles preferably have activities not possessed by either gene from which they are derived. In other embodiments, the alterations can lead to elimination of all or substantially all of the activity of the expressed polypeptide. Alternatively, the modification can lead to inactivation of a gene by site-specific integration of a nucleotide sequence that disrupts expression.

If regulatory sequences are targeted, the timing and level of expression of a gene can be altered. In these embodiments the vectors of the invention contain a sufficient portion of the target promoter sequence to allow homologous recombination with the target sequence, but still prevent expression of the fusion sequence in the absence of homologous recombination. In this way, hybrid promoter sequences can be produced which have desired expression control properties. Thus, for instance, an endogenous promoter that is developmentally controlled can be modified to be constitutive or *vice versa*.

Preparation of recombinant vectors

To introduce the nucleic acid constructs of the invention, recombinant DNA vectors suitable for transformation of plant cells are prepared. Techniques for transforming a wide variety of higher plant species are well known and described in the technical and scientific literature. See, for example, Weising *et al. Ann. Rev. Genet.* 22:421-477 (1988). A DNA sequence of interest fused to a reporter sequence, will be prepared according well known techniques and incorporated into an appropriate vector that lacks transcriptional and translational initiation regulatory sequences, such transcription of the fusion sequence will occur only in the event of homologous recombination.

Well known recombinant DNA methods are used to construct recombinant DNA molecules that comprise a targeting DNA containing sequences at least substantially identical to the target plant nucleotide sequence. Thus, the sequences used in the vectors of the invention will depend in large part on the target nucleotide sequence. The targeting DNA will typically be a variant of the target gene so that homologous recombination results in replacement of the target gene (or a portion of the gene) with the variant form. Incorporation of the targeting sequence by homologous recombination will result in expression of the reporter sequence, thereby allowing detection of the homologous recombination event.

The particular endogenous sequence targetted in the methods of the invention is not a critical aspect of the invention. Examples of genes that can be targetted using the present invention include genes conferring resistance to pathogens (for example, insects, fungi, bacteria and viruses), storage protein genes, herbicide resistance genes, and genes involved in biosynthetic pathways. Any part of the target gene can be modified. Thus, expression signal sequences (for example, promoter and terminator regions) and transcribed regions that encode a specific polypeptide can be targeted using the constructs of the invention.

The reporter sequence used in the invention is also not critical. The only requirement of the reporter sequence gene product is that it have some inherent activity or quality imparting a novel trait or phenotype that can be either monitored (non-selective or non-selectable screen) or directly selected for (i.e. novel activity that would impart resistance to a drug or other selective pressure for cell viability). When the activity of the recombinantly combined fusion gene product is desired, reporter sequence is typically

selected to provide minimal inhibition of the activity of the fusion gene product. One of skill will recognize that, depending upon the activity of the fusion product, a number of means can be used to screen for fusion gene products having the desired function. Using these methods one of skill can make and test constructs to identify the minimal sequence required to provide a reporter activity and still provide a functional fusion gene product.

Non-selective reporter genes activities are generally preferred. Examples of non-selective reporter sequences include those whose gene product provides fluorescence, light emission, pigmentation, color, or change of morphology specific to the reporter gene activity. Suitable reporter genes are well known to those of skill in the art and include, for instance, β -glucuronidase (GUS), (Jefferson *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 6:3901-3907 (1987)), firefly luciferase (LUC), (De Wet *et al.*, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 7:725-37 (1987)) or green fluorescent protein.

Selective reporter sequence activities include but are not limited to drug resistance, herbicide resistance, or resistance to either biotic or abiotic stress. For example, the marker may encode biocide resistance, particularly antibiotic resistance, such as resistance to kanamycin, G418, bleomycin, hygromycin, or herbicide resistance, such as resistance to chlorosulfuron or Basta.

Transformation

Recombinant vectors of the invention may be introduced into the genome of the desired plant host by a variety of conventional techniques. The present invention can be practiced with any explant for which transformation is possible. This includes, but is not limited to, leaf discs, stem explants, floral tissues, root tissue, embryogenic tissues, callus tissues, protoplasts, or suspension cells.

The vector may be introduced into the plant cell using techniques such as *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, electroporation, microinjection, or ballistic methods, such as DNA particle bombardment. Microinjection techniques are known in the art and well described in the scientific and patent literature. The introduction of DNA constructs using polyethylene glycol precipitation is described in Paszkowski *et al.* *EMBO J.* 3:2717-2722 (1984). Electroporation techniques are described in Fromm *et al.* *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:5824 (1985). Ballistic transformation techniques are described in Klein *et al.* *Nature* 327:70-73 (1987).

In most embodiments, the DNA constructs are combined with suitable T-DNA flanking regions and introduced into plant cells using a conventional *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host vector. The virulence functions of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host will direct the insertion of the construct and adjacent marker into the plant cell DNA when the cell is infected by the bacteria. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation techniques, including disarming and use of binary vectors, are well described in the scientific literature. See, for example Horsch et al. *Science* 233:496-498 (1984), and Fraley et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 80:4803 (1983).

Transformed plant cells which are derived by any of the above transformation techniques can be cultured to regenerate a whole plant which possesses the transformed genotype and thus the desired phenotype such as reporter gene activity. Such regeneration techniques rely on manipulation of certain phytohormones in a tissue culture growth medium, typically relying on a biocide and/or herbicide marker which has been introduced together with the desired nucleotide sequences. Plant regeneration from cultured protoplasts is described in Evans et al., *Protoplasts Isolation and Culture, Handbook of Plant Cell Culture*, pp. 124-176, MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, 1983; and Binding, *Regeneration of Plants, Plant Protoplasts*, pp. 21-73, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1985. Regeneration can also be obtained from plant callus, explants, organs, or parts thereof. Such regeneration techniques are described generally in Klee et al. *Ann. Rev. of Plant Phys.* 38:467-486 (1987).

The methods of the invention can be used to confer desired traits on essentially any plant. Thus, the invention has use over a broad range of plants, including species from the genera *Anacardium*, *Arachis*, *Asparagus*, *Atropa*, *Avena*, *Brassica*, *Citrus*, *Citrullus*, *Capsicum*, *Carthamus*, *Cocos*, *Coffea*, *Cucumis*, *Cucurbita*, *Daucus*, *Elaeis*, *Fragaria*, *Glycine*, *Gossypium*, *Helianthus*, *Heterocallis*, *Hordeum*, *Hyoscyamus*, *Lactuca*, *Linum*, *Lolium*, *Lupinus*, *Lycopersicon*, *Malus*, *Manihot*, *Majorana*, *Medicago*, *Nicotiana*, *Olea*, *Oryza*, *Panieum*, *Pannesetum*, *Persea*, *Phaseolus*, *Pistachia*, *Pisum*, *Pyrus*, *Prunus*, *Raphanus*, *Ricinus*, *Secale*, *Senecio*, *Sinapis*, *Solanum*, *Sorghum*, *Theobromus*, *Trigonella*, *Triticum*, *Vicia*, *Vitis*, *Vigna*, and *Zea*.

The means for selecting plants or plants cells in which homologous recombination has occurred will, of course, depend upon the particular reporter sequence

used in the invention. For instance, detection of luciferase activity can be accomplished using video imaging equipment, as described in detail below.

One of skill will recognize that after the expression cassette is stably incorporated in transgenic plants and confirmed to be operable, it can be introduced into other plants by sexual crossing. Any of a number of standard breeding techniques can be used, depending upon the species to be crossed.

EXAMPLE

This example demonstrates use of an internal recombinogenic substrate (IRS) to identify and isolate rare germinal unequal cross-over events in *Arabidopsis thaliana* resulting in a gene duplication and the concomitant activation of a recombinant chimeric RUBISCO small subunit-luciferase reporter gene.

METHODS

Construction of IRS, positive control, and plant transformation:

Recombinant DNA constructs were made using standard procedures (*see, e.g.,* Ausubel, F.M. (Greene Pub. Associates and Wiley-Interscience J. Wiley, New York) (1987)). JGJ188 consisted of a BamHI-PfIMI-HindIII-BsmI-NarI linker generated by PCR amplification using pJGJ184 (promoterless Firefly Luciferase-nos 3' terminator subcloned in pBlueScript SK(+)) as a template and oligonucleotide primers o1 (5' TCCAGGAACCAGGGCGTATATCT 3') and o2 (5'CGGGATCCATTCACTGGAAGCTTCAGTGAATGCAAGCTGGAAGACGCCAAAA ACATAAA 3') to generate a linker fragment that was then subcloned into pJGJ184 as a BamHI-NarI fragment. JGJ194 was a HindIII-BsmI *RBCS1B* genomic DNA fragment from pATS7 subcloned into pJGJ188 similarly cut. Deletion of the 5' *RBCS1B* regulatory sequences was accomplished by digesting pJGJ194 with PfIMI and self-ligated to generate a *ΔRBCS1B::LUC-NOS* terminator gene fusion, pJGJ196. pJGJ93 consisted of a ClaI-SalI *RBCS2B-RBCS3B* genomic DNA fragment reconstructed from a ClaI-SalI *RBCS2B* subclone from pATS17 and a SalI-SphI *RBCS3B* subclone from pATS5 both introduced into pJGJ186 (pBlueScript SK(+)) with a modified polylinker to which a SphI site was added by PCR using oligonucleotide primers o4

(5'TTGAGCTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCCACCGCGGTG
GCGG 3') and o3

(5'AACTCGAGAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGCATGCGTC
GACG GTATCGATAAGC 3') and pBlueScript SK(+) as template). The IRS was

generated by inserting the BamHI-ClaI fragment from pJGJ196 into pJGJ193 similarly cut,
yielding pJGJ200. The IRS was subcloned into the T-DNA binary transformation vector
pSLJ7292 (Jones, *et al.*, *Transgenic Research*, 1:285-297 (1992)) as a SstI (partial)-XhoI
fragment, yielding pJGJ203. pJGJ201 consisted of a 2.8 Kb BamHI-PfIMI *RBCS1B*
promoter containing fragment from pATS18 subcloned into pJGJ194 similarly cut; the
intact *RBCS1B* promoter-*RBCS1B::LUC* fusion was subcloned from pJGJ201 into
pSLJ7292 on a SstI-XhoI fragment, yielding pJGJ204. Transgenic plants were generated
by mobilizing the recombinant binary transformation vectors by triparental mating with
HB 101/pRK2013 into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3 101 which was used to
perform vacuum infiltration transformation of *a. thaliana* Col-0 (Bechtold, *et al.*, *Comptes
Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences Serie III Sciences de la Vie*, 316:1194-1199 (1993)).

Imaging of seedlings:

Seeds were grown on Vermiculite moistened with IX Hoaglands solution
under continuous white light illumination. Twenty minutes before photon counting
seedlings were sprayed with 0.5 mM synthetic D-Luciferin (BIOSYNTH AG, Switzerland)
0.01% Triton X-100 solution. An intensified CCD video camera (Hamamatsu Photonics,
model C2400 47) in conjunction with a Image Intensifier Controller (Hamamatsu
Photonics, model M4314) and Image Processor (Hamamatsu Photonics, Argus 50) was
used to image seedlings within a Hamamatsu Photonics imaging chamber, model A417,
mounted with a Xenon CM 120 lens (Schneider, Bad Kreuznach, Germany). Each tray
was imaged for 10 minutes in photon counting mode; if a suspected luc⁺ signal appeared,
the tray was imaged for an additional 10 minutes. Argus 50 software was used to collect
and process digital images; photon counting imaging was performed in slice/gravity mode
while reflected green light imaging was performed using integration mode.

PCR of genomic DNA:

Genomic DNA was isolated using a CTAB miniprep protocol.

Approximately, 100 ng of genomic DNA was used in each PCR reaction. Amplification consisted of one cycle of 94°C; 35 cycles of 1 min 94°C, 1 min at annealing temperature appropriate to each oligonucleotide pair, min/Kb of expected size fragment at 72°C; followed by 7 min 72°C extension. Various oligonucleotide pairs and respective annealing temperatures utilized were as follows: o13 (5'CAAAAGAAAGATAAGGGTGTCAA 3') and o14 (5' CCTTTCTTTATGTTTTTGGCGTCTTC 3'), 53°C; o26 (5' CTAATTTAATTTTGGGCGACTGA 3') and o29 (5'CAACAGACAATCGGCTGCTC 3'), 55°C; o37 (5' CCTTGTGATCCTTTTCCCTAC 3') and o22 (5'TCTTTATGTTTTTGGCGTCTT 3'), 52°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, three of the four genes encoding the small subunit of RUBISCO are present in a tandem array constituting the *RBCSB* locus (Krebbers, *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 11:745-760 (1988)) (Figure 1A). This gene configuration and high degree of sequence identity between the paralogous *RBCSB* genes, it was predicted that the *RBCSB* locus would be susceptible to unequal cross-over events leading to both gene duplication and recombinant *RBCSB* genes. In order to directly identify and isolate the products of cross-over, an IRS was constructed such that the conditional expression of a Firefly luciferase gene was dependent on a cross-over event between the paralogous *RBCSB* genes. Important attributes of the IRS are shown in Figure 1B.

A $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ reporter gene fusion consisted of replacing part of *RBCS1B* exon III with an in-frame gene fusion to the Firefly Luciferase gene (LUC); furthermore, sequences 5' to the PflM1 site in *RBCS1B* were also deleted. Since the $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ reporter gene fusion lacked the 5' *RBCS1B* sequences normally required for transcription and translation it did not express luciferase activity (luc⁻). 3' to $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$, genomic DNA normally present downstream of *RBCS1B* (*RBCS2B-RBCS3B*) was positioned such that near-normal spacing between the three genes was maintained (Figure 1B). Several stably transformed IRS transgenic lines were generated in an *A. thaliana* ecotype Col-0 background. A homozygous derivative of AtJGJ203.10, containing a single random IRS insertion, was crossed with a control line,

AtJGJ7292.9, generating a F1 population of approximately 10,000 plants hemizygous for each transgenic locus. This F1 population was allowed to self-fertilize, yielding a F2 population with more than 2 million seed.

Three types of DNA recombination events could lead to F2 plants with phenotypic luciferase activity (luc^+): i, an illegitimate DNA recombination event bringing an unrelated transcription unit upstream of the $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ gene; ii, an intermolecular homologous recombination event between an endogenous *RBCSB* gene on Chromosome V; or iii, an intermolecular unequal sister chromatid homologous recombination event between adjacent *RBCSB* sequences present in the IRS. In order to detect the above recombination events, extremely sensitive single photon counting and imaging video equipment (Furuya, *et al.*, *Instrumentation in photomorphogenesis research.*, 1-29-40 (Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht, Boston, London) (1994)) was used to image large numbers of F2 seedlings sprayed with a dilute solution of luciferin, the substrate for Firefly luciferase. To do this, trays containing over 7,500 5 day old seedlings were sprayed with Luciferin and imaged in slice/gravity photon counting mode; the majority of trays did not show any photon emission above background levels level of detection. However, occasional trays demonstrated a distinct photon emission point source. While the intensified video imaging camera can image reflected green light in integration mode, the image quality is poor and it is impossible to identify individual luc^+ seedlings. However, by marking the approximate location of the photon emission source on the video monitor during photon counting imaging, one can then subsequently approximate the same location on the tray during reflected green light imaging by placing tooth picks on the tray in real time. The accuracy of this estimation can confirmed by using the ARGUS-50 software to superimpose the photon counting image upon the reflected light image. Then approximately 40 individual seedlings were removed from the region of photon emission and transferred to a water agar plate making sure to separate individual seedlings. Photon counting imaging and reflected green light imaging were repeated to generate a superimposed image, allowing the unambiguous identification the luc^+ seedling. Luc^+ plants were consistently observed at an average frequency of 2.5×10^{-6} . Since only ten minutes of photon-counting was sufficient for initial detection of a luc^+ seedling, this assay allowed the rapid screening of very large populations of seedlings (> 1.1 million seedlings

in 25 hours of camera imaging time). Three *luc*⁺ seedlings, derived from two independent crosses, were isolated from a population of > 1.1 million observed F2 seedlings.

In order to determine if an homologous recombination event had positioned a *RBCSB* promoter upstream of the previously silent $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ reporter gene, genomic DNA from various lines were subjected to PCR analysis using a LUC-specific oligonucleotide primer in conjunction with a primer that binds to a 14 bp conserved sequence present in all *Arabidopsis* RBCS promoters (Figure 1C, o14 and o13, respectively). Genomic DNA from all *luc*⁺ lines yielded a ≈ 0.9 Kb PCR fragment, whereas genomic DNA from *luc*⁻ lines did not yield an equivalent size fragment. This indicated that the *luc*⁺ phenotype resulted from the fusion of a *RBCSB* promoter to the LUC reporter gene, suggesting this fusion was the result of homologous recombination, rather than an illegitimate recombination event, for instance a promoter/enhancer trap-effect (Sundaresan, *et al.*, *Genes & Development*, 9:1797-1810 (1995)).

In order to determine which RBCS promoter was responsible for the activation of the LUC reporter gene, SphI digested genomic DNA, from *luc*⁺ and *luc*⁻ seedlings, was subjected to Southern blot analysis using a ³²P-dCTP labeled LUC probe. Two LUC hybridizing bands (3.5 Kb and 7.5 Kb) were observed in independent IRS parental lines and the three *luc*⁺ isolates. If a homologous recombination event occurred between the randomly integrated IRS and the endogenous *RBCSB* locus on Chromosome 5 it would generate one additional *LUC* hybridizing band (either 4.5 Kb, 7.5 Kb, or 11.5 Kb) depending upon which *RBCSB* gene crossed-over (*RBCS1B*, *RBCS2B*, or *RBCS3B*, respectively); however, a band of these expected sizes was not observed. Instead, a novel 6.5 Kb *LUC* hybridizing fragment was only observed in genomic DNA from the *luc*⁺ F2 isolates. The 6.5 Kb SphI fragment is consistent with a homologous recombination event between two misaligned sister chromatids, such that the $\Delta RBCS1B::LUC$ gene on one sister chromatid crossed over with the *RBCS3B* gene present in the IRS on the other sister chromatid (Figure 2). Such a homologous recombination event would generate a *RBCS2B* duplication and an additional recombinant chimeric *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* gene, imparting a *luc*⁺ phenotype. To test the assertion that *RBCS3B* promoter sequences were responsible for expression of the *LUC* gene, genomic DNA was subjected to PCR analysis using a primer specific to a unique *RBCS2B-3B* intergenic region in conjunction with a *LUC* specific primer (Figure 2, o37 and o22, respectively). As expected, *luc*⁺ lines containing

the 6.5 Kb *LUC* hybridizing SphI fragment yielded the expected 2.8 Kb PCR fragment, whereas *luc*⁻ lines lacking the 6.5 Kb SphI fragment did not amplify the expected fragment. This confirmed that *RBCS3B* promoter sequences were positioned upstream of the *LUC* gene.

In the postulated recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimera, the DNA sequences upstream of the PflMI site present in the original Δ *RBCS1B::LUC* fusion should be replaced with *RBCS3B* specific promoter, 5' untranslated leader, and exon I sequences. The *RBCS3B* gene has a 21 bp insertion within the 5' untranslated leader not present in the other *RBCSB* genes: consequently, a recombinant chimeric *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* gene should amplify a proportionally larger PCR fragment with primers o13 and o14 relative to an intact *RBCS1B promoter-RBCS1B::LUC* fusion. Consistent with this assertion, the F2 *luc*⁺ isolates yielded a slightly larger PCR fragment. The 6GI and AtJGJ204.7 PCR fragments amplified with o13 and o14 were subcloned, sequenced, and aligned to the genomic *RBCS1B* and *RBCS3B* sequences. DNA sequencing from the 5' end indicated that all nucleotides unique to the *RBCS3B* promoter, 5' untranslated leader, and exon I were present in the *luc*⁺ line 6GI. Moreover, *RBCS3B* signatures continued 3' to the *RBCS1B* PflMI site, indicating that the cross-over event leading to the recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimera occurred within the short region of shared DNA sequence similarity between Δ *RBCS1B::LUC* and *RBCS3B* located on the IRS. These data provided definitive evidence that a recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimera was responsible for the *luc*⁺ phenotype. Furthermore, lines 4A1, 6F1, 6G1 showed a 3:1 segregation ratio of the *luc*⁻ trait in the F3 population, indicating the recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimera was present as hemizygous gene in the original F2 *luc*⁺ isolates.

The reproducibility of these unequal cross-over events was demonstrated in unrelated experiments in which two independent IRS lines were crossed to unspecified transgenic lines, consistently yielding F2 populations with 3-5 *luc*⁺ seedlings per 1.1 million observed plants; likewise, in all cases, the *luc*⁺ phenotype was due to a recombinant *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* chimeric gene, data not shown. Given the low and reproducible frequency of the *RBCS3B/1B::LUC* recombinants, they likely represent an accurate measurement of the frequency of meiotic unequal crossing over between sister chromatids in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (3×10^{-6}). It is noteworthy that this frequency falls within the range of the spontaneous mutation rate (10^{-6}) suggesting that the contribution of

meiotic unequal cross-over events to genome evolution may be as significant as spontaneous mutation. The observed frequency of unequal cross-over was consistent with a previous report (Assad *et al.*, *Genetics*, 132:553-566 (1992)) of plant meiotic cross-over events between direct repeats of non-allelic mutant drug resistance genes leading to restoration of a functional gene. However, in contrast to Assad *et al.*, we did not observe gene conversion events, nor did we observe homologous recombination between the IRS and the endogenous *RBCSB* locus. While the $\Delta RBCS1B$ and *RBCS2B* genes shared sufficient sequence similarity for homologous recombination, no recombinant *RBCS2B/1B::LUC* chimeric luc^+ plants were isolated. The simplest explanation for this was due to the fact that most of the *RBCS2B* promoter was deleted in the construction of the IRS, so a recombinant *RBCS2B/1B::LUC* chimera would be phenotypically silent and thus go undetected in this screen.

The above examples are provided to illustrate the invention but not to limit its scope. Other variants of the invention will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art and are encompassed by the appended claims. All publications, patents, and patent applications cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of identifying homologous recombination in plant cells, the method comprising:

contacting a plurality of plant cells with a nucleic acid molecule comprising a fusion polynucleotide sequence comprising a polypeptide sequence of interest linked to a reporter sequence, wherein the nucleic acid molecule lacks sequences necessary for expression of the fusion polynucleotide sequence gene product in a cell; and

detecting the presence of the fusion polynucleotide sequence gene product in the plant cells, thereby identifying plant cells in which homologous recombination has occurred.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of contacting is carried out using a T-DNA vector.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of regenerating plants from the plant cells before the step of detecting the presence of the fusion sequence gene product.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the reporter sequence is non-selective.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the non-selective reporter sequence encodes luciferase.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the step of detecting is carried out using video imaging equipment.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the plant cell is *Arabidopsis*.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the homologous recombination is reciprocal.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the homologous recombination is non-reciprocal.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the homologous recombination leads to a nonequivalent cross over event.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the homologous recombination results in inactivation of an endogenous gene.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein the homologous recombination results in a recombinant allele.

13. An isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid fusion sequence comprising a polynucleotide of interest linked to a non-selective reporter sequence, wherein the nucleic acid molecule lacks sequences necessary for expression of the nucleic acid fusion sequence gene product in a plant cell.

14. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 13, wherein the isolated nucleic acid molecule is a T-DNA vector.

15. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of 13, wherein the polynucleotide of interest is designed to provide a non-equivalent cross over with an endogenous gene.

16. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 13, wherein the polynucleotide of interest encodes a polypeptide.

17. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 13, wherein the polynucleotide of interest is designed to inhibit expression of an endogenous gene after homologous recombination between the nucleic acid fusion sequence and the endogenous gene.

18. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 13, wherein the reporter sequence is non-selective.

19. The isolated nucleic acid of claim 18, wherein the non-selective reporter sequence encodes luciferase.

20. A plant cell comprising a heterologous nucleic acid fusion sequence comprising a polynucleotide of interest linked to a non-selective reporter sequence, wherein the heterologous nucleic acid fusion sequence is operably linked to an endogenous promoter sequence.

21. The plant cell of claim 20, wherein the polynucleotide of interest encodes a polypeptide.

22. The plant cell of claim 20, wherein the polynucleotide of interest is designed to inhibit expression of an endogenous gene after homologous recombination between the nucleic acid fusion sequence and the endogenous gene.

23. The plant cell of claim 20, wherein the reporter sequence is non-selective.

24. The plant cell of claim 23, wherein the non-selective reporter sequence encodes luciferase.

25. A plant cell comprising a nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid fusion sequence comprising a polynucleotide of interest linked to a non-selective reporter sequence, wherein the nucleic acid molecule lacks sequences necessary for expression of the nucleic acid fusion sequence gene product in the plant cell.

26. The plant cell of claim 25, wherein the isolated nucleic acid molecule is a T-DNA vector.

27. The plant cell of claim 25, wherein the polynucleotide of interest is designed to provide a non-equivalent cross over with an endogenous gene.

28. The plant cell of claim 25, wherein the polynucleotide of interest encodes a polypeptide.

29. The plant cell of claim 25, wherein the polynucleotide of interest is designed to inhibit expression of an endogenous gene after homologous recombination between the nucleic acid fusion sequence and the endogenous gene.

30. The plant cell of claim 25, wherein the reporter sequence is non-selective.

31. The plant cell of claim 30, wherein the non-selective reporter sequence encodes luciferase.

HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION IN PLANTS

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

The present invention provides novel methods for modifying target nucleotide sequences in a plant cell through the use of homologous recombination. The plant cell is transformed with a DNA construct comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding a fusion polypeptide comprising a polypeptide sequence of interest linked to a reporter sequence.

H:\WORK\UCB\002.APP




Figure 1




Figure 2

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION IN PLANTS** the specification of which ___ is attached hereto or x was filed on August 14, 1998 as Application No. _____ and was amended on ___ (if applicable).

I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56. I claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign applications(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Country	Application No.	Date of Filing	Priority Claimed Under 35 USC 119
			Yes _ No _
			Yes _ No _

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application No.	Filing Date

I claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, section 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application No.	Date of Filing	Status
		_ Patented _ Pending _ Abandoned
		_ Patented _ Pending _ Abandoned

Full Name of Inventor 1	Last Name Gruissem	First Name Wilhelm	Middle Name or Initial	
Residence & Citizenship	City	State/Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship	
Post Office Address	Post Office Address	City	State/Country	Zip Code
Full Name of Inventor 2	Last Name Jelesko	First Name John	Middle Name or Initial	
Residence & Citizenship	City	State/Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship	
Post Office Address	Post Office Address	City	State/Country	Zip Code
Full Name of Inventor 3	Last Name Furuya	First Name Masaki	Middle Name or Initial	
Residence & Citizenship	City	State/Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship	
Post Office Address	Post Office Address	City	State/Country	Zip Code

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Signature of Inventor 1	Signature of Inventor 2	Signature of Inventor 3
Wilhelm Gruissem	John Jelesko	Masaki Furuya
Date	Date	Date

DEC.MRG 7/97

F:\DATA\PS\PTOD\DK\89634.1